# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

| Marie Assa'ad-Faltas,   | ) C/A No.: 3:15-1784-TLW-SVH          |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff,  | )                                     |
| vs.   | )                                     |
| Sara Heather Savitz Weiss, John Andrew Delaney, Sterling Davies, CPD former Chiefs and Acting Chiefs [FNU] Burke, Randy Scott, Ruben Santiago, Present or former CPD Officers Julie Ashmore, George McSwain, Former SC 5th Judicial Circuit Solicitors Barney Giese, John Meadors, individually for damages and for qui tam recovery; The McAngus, Goudelock & Courie Law Firm, in its corporate capacity for damages and for qui tam recovery; Jeanette McBride, officially as RC's Clerk of Court for injunctive relief and individually for damages; City of Columbia SC, City of Columbia SC Police Department (CPD), for injunctive and declaratory relief and for qui tam recovery; Dinah Gail Steele, Larry Wayne Mason, individually for damages; Mark Keel, as Chief of SC's State Law Enforcement Division (SLED), William Nettles as U.S. Attorney for the District of South Carolina (D.S.C.), solely officially and solely for injunctive and declaratory relief; Daniel Johnson, as SC's Fifth Judicial Circuit's Solicitor, Gary Watts, as Coroner for Richland County, SC, Steven Benjamin, as Mayor, and All Members of the City of Columbia Council, Teresa Wilson, manager for | ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) |
|   | )                                     |

| the City; and all their subordinates and    |   |
|---|---|
| agents who intend to injure Plaintiff       |   |
| solely officially and solely for injunctive | ) |
| and declaratory relief and for qui tam      | ) |
| recovery; and Robert Eldon Hood, as         | ) |
| Current SC's Fifth Judicial Circuit's       | ) |
| Administrative Judge for General            | ) |
| Sessions, solely officially and solely for  |   |
| injunctive if declaratory relief is         |   |
| unavailable or for declaratory relief if    |   |
| available;                                  | ) |
|   | ) |
| Defendants.                                 | ) |
|   | ) |
|   |   |

This is a civil action filed by a pro se litigant. Under Local Civ. Rule 73.02(B)(2)(e) (D.S.C.), pretrial proceedings in this action have been referred to the assigned United States Magistrate Judge.

# **PAYMENT OF THE FILING FEE:**

Plaintiff has submitted an Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs, which is construed as a Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915. A review of the Motion reveals that Plaintiff should be relieved of the obligation to prepay the full filing fee. Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis is granted. [ECF No. 2].

# TO THE CLERK OF COURT:

The Clerk of Court is directed not to issue the summons or forward this matter to the United States Marshal for service of process at this time.

# **TO PLAINTIFF**:

Plaintiff must place the civil action number listed above (C/A No.: 3:15-1784-TLW-SVH) on any document provided to the court pursuant to this order. Any future filings in this case must be sent to: United States District Court, 901 Richland Street, Columbia, SC 29201. All documents requiring Plaintiff's signature shall be signed with Plaintiff's full legal name written in Plaintiff's own handwriting. Pro se litigants shall not use the "s/typed name" format used in the Electronic Case Filing System. In all future filings with this court, Plaintiff is directed to use letter-sized (eight and one-half inches by eleven inches) paper only, to write or type text on one side of a sheet of paper only and

not to write or type on both sides of any sheet of paper. Plaintiff is further instructed not to write to the edge of the paper, but to maintain one inch margins on the top, bottom, and sides of each paper submitted.

Plaintiff is a pro se litigant. Plaintiff's attention is directed to the following important notice:

You are ordered to always keep the Clerk of Court advised in writing (United States District Court, 901 Richland Street, Columbia, South Carolina 29201) if your address changes for any reason, so as to assure that orders or other matters that specify deadlines for you to meet will be received by you. If as a result of your failure to comply with this order, you fail to meet a deadline set by this court, your case may be dismissed for violating this order. Therefore, if you have a change of address before this case is ended, you must comply with this order by immediately advising the Clerk of Court in writing of such change of address and providing the court with the docket number of all pending cases you have filed with this court. Your failure to do so will not be excused by the court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

May 27, 2015 Columbia, South Carolina Shiva V. Hodges United States Magistrate Judge

(Shira V. Hodges

Plaintiff's attention is directed to the important warning on the next page.

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION....PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

## WARNING TO PRO SE PARTY OR NONPARTY FILERS

ALL DOCUMENTS THAT YOU FILE WITH THE COURT WILL BE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC ON THE INTERNET THROUGH PACER (PUBLIC ACCESS TO COURT ELECTRONIC RECORDS) AND THE COURT'S ELECTRONIC CASE FILING SYSTEM. CERTAIN PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION SHOULD NOT BE INCLUDED IN OR SHOULD BE REMOVED FROM ALL DOCUMENTS <u>BEFORE</u> YOU SUBMIT THE DOCUMENTS TO THE COURT FOR FILING.

Rule 5.2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides for privacy protection of electronic or paper filings made with the court. Rule 5.2 applies to <u>ALL</u> documents submitted for filing, including pleadings, exhibits to pleadings, discovery responses, and any other document submitted by any party or nonparty for filing. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, a party or nonparty filer should not put certain types of an individual's personal identifying information in documents submitted for filing to any United States District Court. If it is necessary to file a document that already contains personal identifying information, the personal identifying information should be "blacked out" or redacted prior to submitting the document to the Clerk of Court for filing. A person filing any document containing their own personal identifying information waives the protection of Rule 5.2(a) by filing the information without redaction and not under seal.

## 1. Personal information protected by Rule 5.2(a):

- (a) Social Security and Taxpayer identification numbers. If an individual's social security number or a taxpayer identification number must be included in a document, the filer may include only the last four digits of that number.
- **(b) Names of Minor Children.** If the involvement of a minor child must be mentioned, the filer may include only the initials of that child.
- (c) **Dates of Birth.** If an individual's date of birth must be included in a document, the filer may include only the year of birth.
- (d) Financial Account Numbers. If financial account numbers are relevant, the filer may include only the last four digits of these numbers.
- 2. <u>Protection of other sensitive personal information such as driver's license numbers and alien registration numbers may be sought under Rule 5.2(d)(filings made under seal) and (e) (protective orders).</u>